Statistical Classifiers

Bayesian Classifier



Dr. Elli Angelopoulou

Lehrstuhl für Mustererkennung (Informatik 5) Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg

Pattern Recognition Pipeline





Feature Extraction and Extraction

- Heuristic feature extraction methods
- Analytic feature extraction methods
- Objective function for "goodness" of feature vector
- Search method for exploring the feature space

Classification

- The step where the actual "recognition" takes place.
- Assigns the transformed input signal to a class.
- Labelled data can be critical in the recognition success.

Decision Function



- Goal: Map the computed feature vector \vec{c} to a class Ω_{κ} . $\vec{c} \xrightarrow{\delta(\Omega_{\kappa}|\vec{c})} \Omega_{\kappa}$
- The decision function $\delta()$ can be a probabilistic decision function.

$$\sum_{\kappa=1} \delta(\Omega_{\kappa} | \vec{c}) = 1$$

- A probabilistic decision function expresses the fact that there is uncertainty in our decision making process.

Decision Function - continued



Other times, the decision function is a binary function of the form:

$$\delta(\Omega_{\kappa}|\vec{c}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \Omega_{\kappa}, \text{ if it is decided that } \vec{c} \in \Omega_{\kappa} \\ 0 & \text{for all other classes} \end{cases}$$

In these cases the decision function can also be represented by a binary vector, with all zeroes, except the class to which the vector *c* belongs to.

Common Assumptions



- Very often during classification we make the following assumptions:
- **1**. There exists a rejection class Ω_{0} .
- Each classification decision has individual costs associated with it. It is the cost of making a mistake.
- 3. After having classified a large number of samples, we are able to estimate the average costs/risk.

Statistical Classifiers



- We have briefly seen classifiers that base their decision based on distances from a representative sample of each class (i.e. mean), or on decision boundaries.
- Statistical classifiers are based on the following idea:
- 1. Compute the risk associated with the classification of a pattern.
- Compute the decision rule by minimizing the total risk.
- The final decision rule (that minimizes the risk) leads to the optimal classifier.

Statistics Review

Mean vector (expectation):

- Continuous: $E\{X\} = \int xp(x)dx$
- Discrete: $\mu_X = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i$

Variance of scalar random variable

- Continuous: $Var\{X\} = E\left\{\left(X E\{X\}\right)^2\right\}$ • Discrete: $\sigma_X^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu_X)^2$
- Variance of vector data (a.k.a. covariance matrix, or variance-covariance matrix, or dispersion matrix) $Var\{\vec{X}\} = E\{(\vec{X} - E\{\vec{X}\})(\vec{X} - E\{\vec{X}\})^T\}$



Page 7

Parametric Densities



- Parametric density functions are densities that are completely defined by their parameters.
- For example, in a normal distribution, the pdf is completely described by the mean and the variance.
- In general, parametric density functions are of the form: $\vec{c} \approx p(\vec{c}|\vec{\alpha})$ where $\vec{\alpha}$ is a parameter vector that has to be estimated.
- **Example:** Normally distributed feature vectors $\vec{c} \approx \mathcal{N}(\vec{c}, \vec{\mu}, \Sigma)$

where the parameters $\vec{\mu}, \Sigma$ can be estimated via maximum likelihood estimation.

Classification Risk – a first look



- Recall that statistical classifiers are based on the following 2-step process:
- 1. Compute the risk associated with the classification of a pattern.
- 2. Compute the decision rule by minimizing the total risk.
- We need a way of quantifying the risk associated with a classifier.
- For that we need to first establish a cost for each classification decision.

Cost Function



- Let $r_{\lambda,\kappa} \in R$ denote the **cost** for classifying a pattern as belonging to class Ω_{λ} when it truly belongs to class Ω_{κ} .
- The **individual decision cost** $r_{\lambda,\kappa}$ has to be defined by the user of the classifier.
- A cost function (usually) should fulfill the following inequality:

$$0 \le r_{\kappa,\kappa} \le r_{\lambda,\kappa}$$

where $r_{\kappa,\kappa}$ is the correct decision.

In the presence of a rejection class Ω_0 :

Computing the Optimal Decision Rule



- In order to compute the optimal decision rule we need to perform the following steps:
- 1. Compute the probability of misclassification $p\!\left(\Omega_{\lambda} \middle| \Omega_{\kappa}\right)$
- 2. Compute the **risk** $R(\delta)$ associated with using a **particular decision function** $\delta()$, including correct decisions, as well as misclassifications:

$$R(\delta) = \sum_{\forall \kappa, \lambda} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\Omega_{\lambda} | \Omega_{\kappa}) r_{\lambda,\kappa}$$

3. Minimize the risk over all different decision rules $\hat{\delta} = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} R(\delta)$

Computing the Prob. of Misclassification



- We want to compute the probability of misclassifying a signal as belonging to class Ω_{λ} when it truly belongs to class Ω_{κ} , $p(\Omega_{\lambda}|\Omega_{\kappa})$
- By the definition of conditional probabilities:

$$p(A|B) = p(A,B)/p(B)$$

Given two jointly distributed random variables A and B, the marginal distribution of A is simply the probability distribution of A ignoring information about B. It is typically calculated by integrating the joint probability distribution over B:

Marginal
$$p(A) = \int_{B} p(A,b) pb$$

Computing the Prob. of Misclassification (2)



$$\begin{split} \left(\Omega_{\lambda}, \vec{c} \left| \Omega_{\kappa} \right) &= \frac{p(\Omega_{\lambda}, \vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})}{p(\Omega_{\kappa})} \\ &= \frac{p(\Omega_{\lambda}, \vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})}{p(\Omega_{\kappa})} \frac{p(\vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})}{p(\vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})} \\ &= \frac{p(\Omega_{\lambda}, \vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})}{p(\vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})} \frac{p(\vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa})}{p(\Omega_{\kappa})} \\ &= p(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) \end{split}$$

Computing the Prob. of Misclassification (3)

- We have shown that $p(\Omega_{\lambda}, \vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) = p(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}, \Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa})$
- Between What we observe is just the feature vector \vec{c} and not both \vec{c} and Ω_{κ} .
- So we replace this term with a probabilistic decision for class Ω_{λ} , given that we have observed \vec{c} :

$$p(\Omega_{\lambda}, \vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) = \delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa})$$

• We can now do a marginalization over \vec{c} :

$$p(\Omega_{\lambda} | \Omega_{\kappa}) = \int_{R_{\vec{c}}} \delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) d\vec{c}$$



Computing the Optimal Decision Rule - revisit



- In order to compute the optimal decision rule we need to perform the following steps:
- 1. Compute the probability of misclassification $p(\Omega_{\lambda} | \Omega_{\kappa}) = \int_{P} \delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) d\vec{c}$
- 2. Compute the **risk** $R(\delta)^{\kappa}$ associated with using a **particular decision function** $\delta()$, including correct decisions, as well as misclassifications:

$$R(\delta) = \sum_{\forall \kappa, \lambda} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\Omega_{\lambda} | \Omega_{\kappa}) r_{\lambda,\kappa}$$

3. Minimize the risk over all different decision rules $\hat{\delta} = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} R(\delta)$

Computing the Risk of a Decision Function



The risk $R(\delta)$ associated with using a particular decision function $\delta()$ for a specific class Ω_{κ} is: $R(\delta|\Omega_{\kappa}) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{K} p(\Omega_{\lambda}|\Omega_{\kappa})r_{\lambda,\kappa}$ $= \sum_{\lambda=0}^{K} \int_{R_{\tau}} \delta(\Omega_{\lambda}|\vec{c}) p(\vec{c}|\Omega_{\kappa}) d\vec{c}r_{\lambda,\kappa}$

For the overall risk, we have to sum over all the classes, taking under consideration the probability of occurrence of each class. $R(\delta) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} R(\delta | \Omega_{\kappa}) p(\Omega_{\kappa}) = \int_{R_{\vec{c}}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{K} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} r_{\lambda,\kappa} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) \delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) d\vec{c}$ $u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) \leftarrow \text{measurement value}$

Objective Function



The overall risk R(δ) can then be written more compactly as:

$$R(\delta) = \int_{R_{\vec{c}}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{K} u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) \delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) d\vec{c}$$

Goal: Derive an optimal decision rule which minimizes overall risk:

$$\hat{\delta} = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} R(\delta) = \underset{\delta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int_{R_{\vec{c}}} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{K} u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) \delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) d\vec{c}$$

Conclusion: The optimal classifier will decide for the class that leads to the smallest measurement value $u_{\lambda}(\vec{c})$.



Let $u_{min}(\vec{c})$ be the smallest possible measurement value among all possible classes.

 $u_{\min}(\vec{c}) = \min_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(\vec{c})$

Then, the optimal decision rule is:

$$\delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) = u_{min}(\vec{c}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

A Remark on the Measurement Value



$$\begin{split} u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) &= \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} r_{\lambda,\kappa} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) \\ &= [r_{\lambda,1}, r_{\lambda,2}, \dots, r_{\lambda,K}] \begin{bmatrix} p(\Omega_{1}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{1}) \\ p(\Omega_{2}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ p(\Omega_{K}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{K}) \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$



Cost Functions



- So far we have considered the user-defined cost function $r_{\lambda,\kappa}$, where $\lambda = 0,1,2,...,K$ and $\kappa = 1,2,...,K$ and where K is the number of classes. So the user must specify (K+1)K different cost values.
- A simpler cost setup involves just 3 distinct cost functions:

$$r_{\kappa,\kappa} = r_c \ \forall \kappa \ (\text{correct classification})$$

 $r_{0,\kappa} = r_r \ \forall \kappa \ (\text{reject})$
 $r_{\lambda,\kappa} = r_f \ \forall \kappa \neq \lambda \ (\text{false classification})$

So one can also think of the total cost of a decision function as:

$$R(\delta) = p_c r_c + p_f r_f + p_r r_r$$

Page 21

(0,1)-Cost Function



- A special case of cost function is the (0,1)-cost function which:
 - uses no rejection class
 - has an r_{\kappa,\kappa} = r_c = 0 \(\forall \kappa\) correct decision cost
 has an r_{\lambda,\kappa} = r_f = 1 \(\forall \kappa\) \(\forall \neq \lambda\) false decision cost
- The risk function for the (0,1) cost function is a simplified version of the general $R(\delta)$: $R(\delta) = p_c r_c + p_f r_f + p_r r_r = p_f$
- Thus, a classifier that minimizes the risk for a (0,1)cost function is equivalent to the classifier that minimizes the error probability.

Decision rule of a (0,1)-Cost Function



- Using a (0,1)-cost function simplifies the measurement value: $u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{K} r_{\lambda,\kappa} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa}) = \sum_{\kappa=1 \atop \kappa \neq \lambda}^{K} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa})$
- Recall that the optimal decision rule is:

$$\delta(\Omega_{\lambda}|\vec{c}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_{\lambda}(\vec{c}) = u_{min}(\vec{c}) = \min_{\kappa} u_{\kappa}(\vec{c}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notice that $u_{\lambda}(\vec{c})$ is minimal when the largest summand is left out, i.e. when the class Ω_{κ} with the largest $p(\Omega_{\kappa})p(\vec{c}|\Omega_{\kappa})$ product is not included in the summation.



• More specifically, minimizing $u_{\lambda}(\vec{c})$ for a (0,1)-cost function involves:

$$u_{min}(\vec{c}) = \min_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}(\vec{c})$$
$$= \min_{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{K=1\\K \neq \lambda}}^{K} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa})$$

- But the sum is minimal when the largest summand is left out. The largest term of the sum is realized for the class with the largest $p(\Omega_{\kappa})p(\vec{c}|\Omega_{\kappa})$ term.
- How can we exclude this from the sum?
- Assign \vec{c} to the class with the largest $p(\Omega_{\kappa})p(\vec{c}|\Omega_{\kappa})$ term. Then through the $\kappa \neq \lambda$ condition the term is excluded from the sum.

Page 24



Measurement Value of a (0,1)-Cost Function

The measurement value then becomes:

$$u_{\min}(\vec{c}) = \min_{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{\kappa=1\\\kappa\neq\lambda}}^{K} p(\Omega_{\kappa}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\kappa})$$

Selecting the largest summand

$$= \max_{\lambda} p(\Omega_{\lambda}) p(\vec{c} | \Omega_{\lambda})$$



Optimal Decision Rule Revisited



- So, given a feature vector *c* we compute for each class the a-posteriori probability and decide for the class with the largest probability.
- Lemma: The classifier that minimizes the probability for misclassification (minimizes p_f) applies the following decision rule:

$$\delta(\Omega_{\lambda} | \vec{c}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda = \arg \max p(\Omega_{\kappa} | \vec{c}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Bayesian Decision Rule



- The Bayes decision rule is a very important result in pattern recognition.
- It states that if we want to have a classification scheme that minimizes the probability of misclassifications, then the only thing one needs to do is to:
 - a. Compute the posterior probabilities $p(\Omega_{\kappa}|\vec{c})$
 - b. Decide for the class that give the maximum posterior probability.
- Simple concept:

Finding the optimal classifier requires finding the posterior probabilities.

Bayesian Classifier



- Definition: A classifier whose decision rule is based on the maximization of posterior probabilities is called a *Bayesian classifier*.
- So pattern recognition is then done/solved in term of classification.
- All we need to do is given some training data to compute the posterior probability $p(\Omega_{\kappa} | \vec{c})$.
- A simple task. Or is it?

Bayesian Classifier



- Obtaining accurate estimates of the posterior probabilities from training data can be challenging.
- One of the topics of Pattern Recognition is to find good methodologies for approximating the posterior probabilities.
- So in theory, there is no other classifier that can achieve a lower error probability than a (0,1) Bayesian classifier. Let us denote the error probability of a Bayesian classifier as p_B.
- In general, this error probability p_B will act as a lower bound when discussing the error probabilities of other classifiers.

Remarks



1. Many classifiers try to approximate the Bayesian classifier.

Caution: a (0,1)-cost function must make sense, do not force a (0,1)-cost function if it doesn't fit the application.

2. The Bayesian classifier requires complete knowledge about $p(\Omega_{\kappa} | \vec{c})$.

How do we get enough training data?

Is the training data appropriate? In other words are our samples good examples of the real population?

Remarks - continued



3. Modeling of $p(\Omega_{\kappa} | \vec{c})$ is a key issue.

For instance:

In speech recognition we don't classify based on a single feature but rather on a sequence of features. How do we handle feature sequences in the posterior probability computation?

How do we deal with the fact that the image data we get is a projection from 3D to 2D, so we already have information loss?