

# Statistical Deformation Modeling of the Optic Disk

R. Bock<sup>1,3</sup> J. Hornegger<sup>1,3</sup> G. Michelson<sup>2,3,4</sup> <sup>1</sup>Pattern Recognition Lab, Department of Computer Science, <sup>3</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, <sup>1</sup>Erlangen Graduate School in Advanced Optical Technologies (SAOT) <sup>4</sup> Interdisciplinary Center of Ophthalmic Preventive Medicine and Imaging (IZPI) <sup>1</sup>Friedrich-Alexander University of Erlangen, Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany reddiger.des

1797



#### Background

Quantitative glaucoma indices commonly rely on geometric parameters of the optic nerve head (ONH) such as disk area or cup volume.

However, the ONH is a too complex structure to be accurately analyzed by geometric morphometry because of:

#### Sparse sampling of ONH

· Lack of mathematically derived and biologically interpretable ONH descriptions [2]

#### Purpose

We provide dense descriptions of the ONH variability in alaucomatous cases presented by tissue deformations.

#### Data

- Erlangen Glaucoma Registry (Figure 1)
- Color fundus photographs (Kowa non-myd, FOV 22.5°, ONH centered)
- Gold standard for glaucoma diagnosis is present
- -Diagnosis by an experienced ophthalmologist
- -Complete ophthalmological examination (ophthalmoscopy, visual field test, IOP, FDT, HRT II)

#### **Dataset characteristics**

- Mean age 55.4  $\pm$  10.9 years
- ONH area 2.2 ± 0.5 mm<sup>2</sup> (Macro ONH not included)
- 149 glaucoma cases  $I_a$  (FDT test time 67.4  $\pm$  35.6 s)
- 246 controls I<sub>c</sub>

# Methods

#### 1. Fundus image preprocessing [1]

Normalized optic nerve head images by eliminating disease independent variations (Figure 1) such as

- illumination inhomogeneities
- ONH normalization
- vessel structures.



Figure 1: Preprocessing to eliminate disease independent variations: (a) cropped original fundus image, (b) illumination corrected reflectance image with normalized ONH location, (c) inpainted vessel structures.

#### 2. Non rigid image registration

Characterization of inter subject ONH variability by dense deformation fields U calculated between one reference image R and image samples  $T \in I$ 

- Variational multilevel approach  $D(R(U), T) + s \cdot S(U) \xrightarrow{u} \min$
- Distance measure D: Sum of squared differences
- Smoother S: Diffusion regularizer
- 3. Deformation based reference ONH

ONH reference image R that is characterized by the minimal average residual deformation over a sample set *I* is calculated by an iterative procedure:

- Reference R: Selection of initial estimate as the average of sample set I
- Calculation of deformation fields U<sub>i</sub> between samples  $I_i$  and current reference R
- Average deformation field:  $U_{ava} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} U_i$
- Deformation of reference  $R(U_{ava})$  by average deformation field  $U_{ava}$

#### 4. Isolation of abnormal variations

from captured deformation field which is distorted by overlaid control deformations.

- Deformation field U between reference ONH R and a sample
- · Model healthy ONH variability by Principal Component Analysis (PCA) on deformation fields U of controls I<sub>c</sub>
- Crop deformations by the fraction represented by PCA model of controls

[1] R. Bock, J. Meier, L. G. Nyúl, J. Hornegger, G. Michelson. Glaucoma risk index: Automated glaucoma detection from color fundus images. Med Image Anal, 14(3), 471-481, 2010.

# 5. Statistical deformation model (SDM) of ONH

to provide a mathematically derived and biologically interpretable description of ONH variability in glaucomatous optic atrophy.

- PCA model on deformations U of
- -control samples I
- -glaucomatous cases  $I_a$
- Supervised attribute selection on modes
- controls and glaucomatous

# **Results and Discussion**

#### 1. Deformation based reference ONH



Figure 2: Comparison of pixel wise averaging (a,b) and deformation based aver-aging (c,d) of ONH reflectance images: (a,c) control, (b,d) glaucoma. Pixel wise averages (a,b) are too smooth to indentify local structures. Deformation based averaging (c,d) clearly shows a structural thining of the upper rim in case of glaucoma (d) compared to control reference (c) (red arrows).

#### 2. Isolation of abnormal deformations



(b) (c) (d) Figure 3: Isolation of abnormal deformations: (a,b) control, (c,d) glaucoma. (a,c) Captured deformations between test sample and reference. Abnormal deforma-tions overlaid by high amount of control deformations. (b,d) Isolated abnormal deformations showing an increased cupping for the glaucomatous case (d). (red: high magnitude, blue: low magnitude of deformation vector)

- Visualization of ONH's deformations
- Identification of isolated abnormal deformations
- → Novel representation for the physician

### 3. SDM of ONH in glaucoma

- Discriminative modes identify patterns of variation
- Intuitive visualization of ONH deformation (Figure 4)
- Mathematically derived influence of rim and cup
- → Biologically interpretable descriptions of ONH deformation in case of glaucoma

[2] P.G. Sanfilippo, A. Cardini, A. W. Hewitt, J. G. Crowston, D. A. Mackey. Optic disc morphology - Rethinking shape. Progress in Retinal and Eye Research, 28(4), 227-248, 2009.





Figure 4: First four PCA modes most discriminating between control and glaucoma providing a mathematically derived and biologically interpretable description of ONH deformations: (a,b) Simultaneous deformation of the cup and rim, (c,d) local extension of the cup (red: high magnitude, blue: low magnitude of defor mation vector)

# Conclusion

Statistical deformation modelling of ONH provides

- intuitive representation of ONH variability
- main modes of glaucomatous deformations
- identification of abnormal deformations.

Deformation based morphometry of ONH shows a potential to be a **future** technique to gain novel insights into glaucomatous changes of the ONH.

#### Support

This contribution was supported by the German National Science Foundation (DFG) in the context of Collaborative Research Center 539. The authors gratefully acknowledge funding of the Erlangen Graduate School in Advanced Optical Technologies (SAOT) by the German National Science Foundation (DFG) in the framework of the excellence initiative. We thank V. Daum for providing the non rigid registration framework.

# **Commercial Relationship**

R. Bock, None; J. Hornegger, None; G. Michelson, None.

R. Bock et al., IOVS (2010), 51, ARVO E-Abstract 1797 Presented May 8th during Annual Meeting of Association of Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO) 2010 Fort Lauderdale, Florida, USA

References