



## General Information:

Exercises (1 SWS): Tue 12:15 – 13:45 (0.154-115) and Fri 08:15 – 09:45 (0.151-115)  
Certificate: Oral exam at the end of the semester  
Contact: peter.fischer@fau.de, shiyang.hu@fau.de

## Regression

**Exercise 1** The goal of this exercise is robust regression line fitting for  $N$  measurements  $(x_i, y_i)$ . Thus, you should estimate parameters  $a, b$  for a line  $ax_i + b$  that best explains your observations  $y_i$ . Here we employ the Huber norm to make the estimate more robust to outliers compared to simple least-square regression:

$$(a, b) = \arg \min_{a, b} D(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_{\text{Huber}}(y_i - ax_i - b) \quad (1)$$

The parameters  $(a, b)$  are determined using iterative numerical optimization. The Huber norm is defined as

$$\phi_{\text{Huber}}(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } |x| \leq M \\ M(2|x| - M) & \text{if } |x| > M \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- Calculate the gradient of the cost function w.r.t.  $a$  and  $b$ . The gradient is necessary for many iterative numerical optimization techniques.  
Hint: You need to calculate the derivative of the Huber norm.
- Show that the Huber norm is convex. Use the first-order convexity condition for differentiable functions  $f(x)$

$$f(z) \geq f(x) + f'(x)(z - x)$$

Start by proving convexity for  $g(x) = x^2$  and  $h(x) = M(2|x| - M)$ . Then, treat the special cases that occur due to the piece-wise definition of the Huber norm. For this exercise, focus only on positive values  $x, z, M$ .

- Download the provided measurements from the exercise homepage. Minimize the Huber norm using MATLAB. You do not need the Classification Toolbox. Use the MATLAB function *fminunc*.
- Compare the robust line fitting to a ordinary least-square approach. Find situations where the robust approach is superior. Show that due to convexity, the optimum is always found.

**Exercise 2** A training set of  $N$  independent samples with feature vectors  $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$  and target variables  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$  is given. A linear model with the parameter  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  is assumed to estimate the target variable from the feature  $b = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a}$ .

Ridge regression is least-squares linear regression with  $L_2$ -norm regularization. It is defined by the optimization problem

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}\|_2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \quad , \quad (3)$$

with the design matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}(i, j) = \mathbf{a}_i(j)$  and the target vector  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ ,  $\mathbf{b}(i) = b_i$ .

- (a) Derive the solution of the ridge regression optimization problem.
- (b) What is the effect of the regularization?
- (c) Ridge regression can be motivated by Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimation. In MAP estimation, the a posteriori probability of the parameters after observing the training data is maximized  $\mathbf{x}^* = \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{b})$ . The assumption of Gaussian noise  $p(b|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{N}(b|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{a}, \beta^{-1})$  and a Gaussian prior for the parameters  $p(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{0}, \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{I})$  is made. Show that MAP estimation in this setting is equivalent to ridge regression.